arXiv:1603.01296v2 [math.NT] 7 Jan 2017

On the class numbers of the fields of the p^n-torsion points of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$

Dedicated to Professor Hirotada Naito's 60th birthday

Fumio SAIRAIJI and Takuya YAMAUCHI *

Abstract

Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ which has multiplicative reduction at a fixed prime p. Assume E has multiplicative reduction or potentially good reduction at any prime not equal to p. For each positive integer n we put $K_n := \mathbb{Q}(E[p^n])$. The aim of this paper is to extend the authors' previous results in [14] concerning with the order of the p-Sylow group of the ideal class group of K_n to more general setting. We also modify the previous lower bound of the order given in terms of the Mordell-Weil rank of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ and the ramification related to E.

1 Introduction

This article is a sequel of [14]. Let p be a prime number and E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. For each positive integer n, we consider the field K_n generated by the coordinates of points on $E[p^n]$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. In [14] the authors studied a lower bound of the p-part of the class number h_{K_n} of K_n in terms of the Mordell-Weil rank of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ when E has prime conductor p. The present article extends this result to a more extensive class of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$.

For such an elliptic curve, we will carry out a similar estimation done in [14] but at the same time we give an improvement of the method of the estimation. As we have done in [14] the lower bound will be given in terms of the Mordell-Weil rank and the information coming from the ramification related to E. Our formula is reminiscent of Iwasawa's class number formula for $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension. In fact we have an explicit class number formula in a special case (see Corollary 1.2).

Our study is motivated by the works of Greenberg [5] and Komatsu-Fukuda-Yamagata [6] who have studied a lower bound of Iwasawa invariants for CM fields in terms of the Mordell-Weil group of the corresponding CM abelian varieties. We have pursued an analogue for non-CM elliptic curves since [14].

To state our main theorem we introduce our notation. The Mordell-Weil theorem asserts that $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is a finitely generated abelian group. Thus there exists a free abelian subgroup A of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ of finite rank such that $A+E(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}} = E(\mathbb{Q})$. We denote the rank of A by r. We put $G_n := \mathrm{Gal}(K_n/\mathbb{Q})$ and $L_n := K_n([p^n]_E^{-1}A)$, where $[p^n]_E$ is the multiplication-by-p^n map on E. We denote

*The second author is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No.15K04787.

generators of A by $P_1, \dots, P_r$. For each j in $\{1, \dots, r\}$ we take a point T_j of $E(L_n)$ satisfying

$$[p^n]_E(T_j) = P_j.$$

Then we have $L_n = K_n(T_1, \dots, T_r)$. The Galois action on $\{T_j\}_j$ naturally induces an injective G_n-homomorphism

$$\Phi_n : \mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n) \to E[p^n]^r \ : \ \sigma \mapsto ({}^\sigma T_j - T_j)_j.$$

In particular, the degree $[L_n : K_n]$ is equal to a power of p.

We denote the maximal unramified abelian extension of K_n by K_n^{ur}. We define the exponent κ_n by

$$[L_n \cap K_n^{\mathrm{ur}} : K_n] = p^{\kappa_n}.$$

Assume that E has multiplicative reduction at p and E has multiplicative reduction or potentially good reduction at any prime $\ell \neq p$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_1$ be the completion of L_1 at a fixed prime in L_1 over p. Since E has multiplicative reduction at p, there exists a unique quadratic extension $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ such that E over $\mathcal{M}$ is uniformized by the Tate curve. Then the main theorem of this article is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $G_n \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ for each $n \geq 1$ and $p \nmid \mathrm{ord}_p(\Delta)$, where Δ is the minimal discriminant of E. The following inequalities hold:*

(1) *Assume that p is odd. Then for any $n \geq 1$,*

$$\kappa_n \geq 2n(r-1) - 2\sum_{\ell \neq p} \nu_\ell$$

where we put

$$\nu_\ell := \begin{cases} \min\{\mathrm{ord}_p(\mathrm{ord}_\ell(\Delta)), n\} & \text{if } E \text{ has split multiplicative reduction at } \ell \neq p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) *Assume that $p = 2$. Then for any $n \geq 1$,*

$$\kappa_n \geq 2n(r - 1 - \delta_2) - 2\sum_{\ell \neq p} \nu_\ell,$$

where $\delta_2 := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \zeta_4 \notin \mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}_1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Corollary 1.2. *Assume that the conductor of E is equal to p. Then*

$$\kappa_n = \begin{cases} 2n(r-1) + 2\nu & (n > \nu) \\ 2nr & (n \leq \nu). \end{cases}$$

for some integer $\nu \geq 0$ (which depends only on P_j).

We explain the conditions imposed on E. Put

$$n_0 := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p > 3 \\ 2 & \text{if } p = 3 \\ 3 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

It is known that $G_n \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ for $n \leq n_0$ implies $G_n \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ for all $n \geq 1$ (cf. §1 of [3]). For a given prime number p, there is a criterion for G_n ($n \leq n_0$) to be isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ (see [3] for $p = 2$, [4] for $p = 3$, and [15] for $p \geq 5$). The condition $p \not| \mathrm{ord}_p(\Delta)$ is automatically satisfied when $p > 5$ and E is a semistable elliptic curve (cf. Théorème 1, p. 176 of [12]). The meaning of δ_2 will be revealed in §3.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In §2, we study the extension L_n/K_n. To do this we modify Bashmakov's result [1] from Lang [10] for our elliptic curves. Then we investigate the degree $[L_n : K_n]$ of the extension L_n/K_n. A key point is to show the equality $L_1 \cap K_\infty = K_1$. To do this we separate the situation into the case when p is odd and the case when $p = 2$. The former case will be done in §2, but the latter case will be devoted to §6 because of the particular treatment in which being $p = 2$ causes. In §3 and §4, we investigate the degree of the p-adic completion of L_n over the one of K_n, which is used for the estimate of the inertia group in §5. We give the proof of Theorem 1.1 in §5, and we give numerical examples of κ_n in §7. Under preparing this article, Hiranouchi [8] generalized our method to the case where E has ordinary reduction at p by using the structure theorem of $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and the formal group for $E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which plays an substitution of Tate curves.

2 The extension L_n over K_n

In this section, we extends some results in [14] which has been done by the arguments essentially based on Bashmakov [1] (cf. Lemma 1 of [10, p. 117]).

Let us keep the notation in §1 and throughout this paper we assume our elliptic curve E always satisfies the condition in Theorem 1.1. Put $K_\infty := \cup_{n\geq 1} K_n$, $L_\infty := \cup_{n\geq 1} L_n$ and $G_\infty := \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$. For each $n \geq 1$ let us consider the G_n-homomorphism

$$\Phi_n : \mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n) \to E[p^n]^r.$$

It follows that the G_∞-homomorphism

$$\Phi_\infty := \varprojlim_n \Phi_n : \mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty) \to T_p(E)^r$$

is injective and the image is a closed subgroup. We are concerned with the order of the image of G_n-homomorphism Φ_n. As we will see below, Φ_1 controls Φ_∞ and then the information for Φ_n comes up from Φ_∞.

To obtain a lower bound of the class number in question, we need to study that the image of Φ_n to grantee the degree $[L_n : K_n]$ is large enough. We will prove that Φ_n is isomorphic for any prime p and $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $G_1 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. Then, Φ_1 is an isomorphism for any prime p. In particular, the equality $[L_1 : K_1] = p^{2r}$ holds.*

Proof. The proof given here is almost identical with the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [14]. Therefore we only explain a key point. Since $G_1 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, the Galois cohomology $H^1(G_1, E[p])$ vanishes by [11]. Then there is an injective homomorphism

$$A/[p]_E A \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\mathrm{Gal}(L_1/K_1), E[p])$$

(see l. 6 in p. 283 of [14]). Therefore we have $\sharp \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\mathrm{Gal}(L_1/K_1), E[p]) \geq p^r$, where r is $\mathbb{Z}$-rank of A. On the other hand $\mathrm{Gal}(L_1/K_1) \simeq E[p]^s$ for some $s \leq r$. Then we see that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\mathrm{Gal}(L_1/K_1), E[p]) \simeq \mathrm{End}_{G_1}(E[p])^s \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^s$$

which implies $s \geq r$. Hence $s = r$ and it turns that Φ_1 is isomorphic. □

To show that Φ_n is an isomorphism, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *The equality $L_1 \cap K_\infty = K_1$ holds for any prime p.*

Proof. In case when p is odd prime the assertion follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 of [14]. In case when $p = 2$ it follows from Theorem 6.6. □

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that $G_1 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. Then, Φ_n is an isomorphism for $n \geq 1$ and any prime p. In particular, the equality $[L_n : K_n] = p^{2nr}$ holds.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $\mathrm{Gal}(L_1/L_1 \cap K_\infty) = \mathrm{Gal}(L_1/K_1)$. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\infty} & T_p(E)^r \\ {\scriptstyle \alpha_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_1} \\ \mathrm{Gal}(L_1/K_1) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & E[p]^r, \end{array}$$

where α_1 is the restriction map and β_1 is the reduction modulo p. Clearly these vertical arrows are surjective.

Since Φ_1 is an isomorphism by Theorem 2.1, $\Phi_1 \circ \alpha_1$ is surjective. We see that Φ_∞ is surjective by using Nakayama's Lemma. This gives rise to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty) & \overset{\Phi_\infty}{\simeq} & T_p(E)^r \\ {\scriptstyle \alpha_n}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_n} \\ \mathrm{Gal}(L_n/L_n \cap K_\infty) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_n} & E[p^n]^r, \end{array}$$

where α_n is the restriction map and β_n is the reduction modulo p^n. Thus it follows that the restriction of Φ_n to $\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/L_n \cap K_\infty)$ is surjective and thus Φ_n is surjective. Since Φ_n is also injective, Φ_n is an isomorphism. Hence $[L_n : K_n] = p^{2nr}$. □

Corollary 2.4. *The equality $L_n \cap K_\infty = K_n$ holds for $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 2.3, we saw that Φ_n and its restriction to $\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/L_n \cap K_\infty)$ are isomorphisms to $E[p^n]^r$. Thus we have $\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/L_n \cap K_\infty) = \mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$, and the assertion follows. □

3 The inertia subgroups of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$ on p

In this section we estimate the order of the inertia subgroups of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$ on p. We also improve the previous result (cf. Theorem 1.1 of [14]).

3.1 The local case

Let us recall the notation in §3 of [14]. Fix a natural number n. Put $\mathcal{K}_n := \mathbb{Q}_p(E[p^n])$ and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the prime ideal of $\mathcal{K}_n$. Put $\mathcal{L}_n := \mathcal{K}_n([p^n]_E^{-1}A)$ and let $\mathfrak{P}$ be the prime ideal of $\mathcal{L}_n$.

We will investigate the order of the inertia subgroup $\mathcal{I}_n$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n)$.

We denote the generators of A by $P_1, \ldots, P_r$, where A is the fixed free subgroup in $E(\mathbb{Q})$. For each j in $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ we take T_j such that $[p^n]_E(T_j) = P_j$. The injectivity of the homomorphism

$$\Phi_n^{\mathrm{loc}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n) \to E[p^n]^r \ : \ \sigma \mapsto ({}^{\sigma}T_j - T_j)_j$$

shows that $[\mathcal{L}_n : \mathcal{K}_n]$ divides p^{2nr}.

A key point is to prove the cyclicity of $\mathcal{I}_n$ and we make use of the Tate curves to confirm it. Since E has multiplicative reduction at p, there exists q in $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that E is isomorphic over $\mathcal{M}$ to the Tate curve E_q for some unramified extension $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree at most two. We note $E_q(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

We write φ from E to E_q for the isomorphism over $\mathcal{M}$. We define p_j and t_j in $E_q(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ by

$$\varphi(P_j) = p_j \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(T_j) = t_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq r)$$

(see §1 for P_j and T_j).

Assume that $p \nmid \mathrm{ord}_p(q)$. We discuss about generators of $\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n$.

We put

$$H := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}_p^* & \text{if } \ \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Q}_p \\ \{x \in \mathcal{M}^* \mid N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x) \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}\} & \text{if } \ [\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{Q}_p] = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $q \in H$ and $E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq H/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ via φ. We have

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/[p^n]_E(E(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \simeq H/\langle H^{p^n}, q\rangle.$$

3.1.1

We consider the case of $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then $H = \mathbb{Q}_p^*$ and

$$H = \begin{cases} \langle p\rangle \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^* \times (1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p) & \text{if } p \neq 2 \\ \langle 2\rangle \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^* \times (1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_p) & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

It follows from $p \nmid \mathrm{ord}_p(q)$ that

$$H/\langle H^{p^n}, q\rangle = \begin{cases} \langle 1 + p\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } p \neq 2 \\ \langle -1\rangle \times \langle 5\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/[p^n]_E(E(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ is an abelian group of type (p^m), $(2^m, 2)$ for some $m \leq n$.

We discuss generators of the image of the projection from the subgroup $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_r, q\rangle/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ to $H/\langle H^{p^n}, q\rangle$.

We first consider the case of $p > 2$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ is a local ring, there is a relation of inclusion between every two submodules of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. By renumbering, we may assume $\langle p_j\rangle \subset \langle p_1\rangle$ as a subgroup of $H/\langle H^{p^n}, q\rangle$ for each $j \leq r$.

In this case $\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{K}_n(t_1)$ holds.

Secondly we consider the case of $p = 2$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ is a local ring, there is a relation of inclusion between every two submodules of $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$. By renumbering, we may assume $\langle p_j, -1\rangle \subset \langle p_1, -1\rangle$ as a subgroup of $H/\langle H^{2^n}, q\rangle$ for each $j \leq r$. If the rank of $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_r\rangle$ is two, we may assume $p_2 \notin \langle p_1\rangle$. Then we have $p_2 = -p_1^k$. By replacing p_2 by $p_2 p_1^{-k}$, we may assume $p_2 = -1$.

In this case $\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{K}_n(t_1)$ or $\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{K}_n(t_1, \zeta_{2^{n+1}})$ holds.

3.1.2

We consider the case of $[\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{Q}_p] = 2$. Then

$$H := \{x \in \mathcal{M}^* \mid N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x) \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}\}$$

and we investigate the structure of H/H^{p^n}.

Since $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(q) = q^2$, the image of H via $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a subgroup in $q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of exponent 1 or 2. Thus H contains the group $H_0 = \langle q\rangle \times U_{\mathcal{M},1}$ as a subgroup of exponent 1 or 2, where $U_{\mathcal{M},1}$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}^*$ with norm 1.

We first consider the case of $p > 2$. Since the exponent $[H : H_0]$ is prime to p^n, we have

$$H/H^{p^n} \simeq H_0/H_0^{p^n} \simeq \langle q\rangle \times U_{\mathcal{M},1}/U_{\mathcal{M},1}^{p^n}.$$

We investigate generators of $U_{\mathcal{M},1}/U_{\mathcal{M},1}^{p^n}$. We denote the ring of integers in $\mathcal{M}$ by $\mathcal{O}$.

$$\log \ : \ 1 + p\mathcal{O} \to p\mathcal{O} \ : \ 1 + x \mapsto \log(1 + x)$$

converges and it gives an isomorphism. Since $\log(1 + x)$ is a powerseries with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}_p$, it commutes with the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Specially each element of $1 + p\mathcal{O}$ with norm one corresponds to that of $p\mathcal{O}$ with trace zero.

We put $\mathcal{M} := \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{D})$ for a square-free integer D in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then we have

$$p\mathcal{O} \cap \ker Tr_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_p} = p\mathbb{Z}_p\sqrt{D}$$

and

$$(1 + p\mathcal{O}) \cap \ker N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_p} = \exp(p\mathbb{Z}_p\sqrt{D}).$$

Since $\mathcal{O}^* \simeq (\mathcal{O}/p\mathcal{O})^* \times (1 + p\mathcal{O})$ and the order of $(\mathcal{O}/p\mathcal{O})^*$ is prime to p,

$$U_{\mathcal{M},1}/U_{\mathcal{M},1}^{p^n} = \langle \exp(p\sqrt{D})\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We have

$$H/\langle H^{p^n}, q\rangle \simeq \langle \exp(p\sqrt{D})\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}.$$

By a similar discussion as in the case of $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Q}_p$, we may assume $\langle p_j\rangle \subset \langle p_1\rangle$ as a subgroup of $H/\langle H^{p^n}, q\rangle$ for each $j \leq r$.

In this case $\mathcal{ML}_n = \mathcal{MK}_n(t_1)$ holds.

Secondly we consider the case of $p = 2$.

We have $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_2}\mathcal{M}^* = \langle 2^2\rangle \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ and there does not exist y in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_2}(y) = q$. Thus we have $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_2}(x) \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ if and only if $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_2}(x) \in q^{2\mathbb{Z}}$.

Since $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_2}(q) = q^2$, we have

$$H = q^{\mathbb{Z}} \times U_{\mathcal{M},1}$$

and

$$H/H^{2^n} \simeq \langle q \rangle \times U_{\mathcal{M},1}/U_{\mathcal{M},1}^{2^n}.$$

We investigate generators of $U_{\mathcal{M},1}/U_{\mathcal{M},1}^{2^n}$.

$$\log \ : \ 1 + 4\mathcal{O} \to 4\mathcal{O} \ : \ 1 + x \mapsto \log(1+x)$$

converges and it gives an isomorphism. We modify discussion in the case of $p > 2$. Since

$$1 \to 1 + 4\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}^* \to (\mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O})^* \to 1,$$

we have

$$1 \to (1 + 4\mathcal{O}) \cap U_{\mathcal{M},1} \to U_{\mathcal{M},1} \to \mu_6 \to 1,$$

where μ_6 is the group of 6-th roots of unity. Thus we have

$$U_{\mathcal{M},1} = \mu_6 \times \langle \exp(4\sqrt{5}) \rangle.$$

Let $U_{\mathcal{M},\pm 1}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}^*$ with norm ± 1. Then the norm mapping induces the exact sequence

$$1 \to U_{\mathcal{M},1} \to U_{\mathcal{M},\pm 1} \to \langle -1 \rangle \to 1.$$

We note $\varepsilon := (-1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ has norm -1. Since $\varepsilon^6 = 1 + 4(-2\varepsilon + 1)$, there exists a unit w such that $\varepsilon^6 = \exp(4w\sqrt{5})$. Since 3 is an unit, we have $\varepsilon^2 = \eta \exp(4w\sqrt{5}/3)$ for some η in μ_3. Thus we have

$$U_{\mathcal{M},1} = \mu_6 \times \langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle.$$

We also have

$$H/\langle H^{2^n}, q \rangle = \langle -1 \rangle \times \langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}.$$

By a similar discussion as in the case of $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Q}_p$, we may assume $\langle p_j, -1 \rangle \subset \langle p_1, -1 \rangle$ as a subgroup of $\langle H, q \rangle / \langle H^{2^n}, q \rangle$ for each $j \leq r$. If the rank of $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_r \rangle$ is two, we may assume $p_2 = -1$.

In this case $\mathcal{ML}_n = \mathcal{MK}_n(t_1)$ or $\mathcal{ML}_n = \mathcal{MK}_n(t_1, \zeta_{2^{n+1}})$ holds.

3.1.3

To sum up our discussion, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $p \nmid \mathrm{ord}_p(q)$. For a suitable change of basis of a maximal free subgroup A of $E(\mathbb{Q})$, the equation $\mathcal{ML}_n = \mathcal{MK}_n(\varphi(T_1))$ or $\mathcal{ML}_n = \mathcal{MK}_n(\varphi(T_1), \zeta_{2^{n+1}})$ holds. The latter case occurs only when $p = 2$, and then we may assume $\varphi(P_2) = -1$, $\varphi(T_2) = \zeta_{2^{n+1}}$.*

3.2

Assume $p > 2$. We investigate the ramified index $\mathcal{ML}_n/\mathcal{MK}_n$. We need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a group and let M be a G-module. Let α be in the center of G. Then $H^1(G, M)$ is annihilated by the map $x \mapsto \alpha x - x$ on M. In particular, if this map is an automorphism of M, then $H^1(G, M) = 0$.*

By the inf rest-rest exact sequence, we have

$$0 \to H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})/\mathcal{M}), \mu_{p^n}) \to H^1(G_{\mathcal{M}}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^1(G_{\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})}, \mu_{p^n})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})/\mathcal{M})}.$$

When $p > 2$, $a - 1$ is an unit of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^* \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})/\mathcal{M})$ for a primitive root a of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^*$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})/\mathcal{M}), \mu_{p^n}) = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$0 \to H^1(G_{\mathcal{M}}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^1(G_{\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})}, \mu_{p^n})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})/\mathcal{M})}.$$

By the Kummer theory we have

$$\mathcal{M}^*/\mathcal{M}^{*p^n} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})^*/\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})^{*p^n})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})/\mathcal{M})} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})^*/\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})^{*p^n}.$$

Thus we see that the Galois group of $\mathcal{MK}_n(\zeta_{p^n}, q^{\frac{1}{p^n}}, u^{\frac{1}{p^n}})/\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^n})$ is of type (p^n, p^n), where $u = 1 + p$, $\exp(p\sqrt{D})$. We have $[\mathcal{MK}_n(u^{\frac{1}{p^n}}) : \mathcal{MK}_n] = p^n$.

We will see that $\mathcal{MK}_n(u^{\frac{1}{p^n}})/\mathcal{M}(\zeta_p)$ is a totally ramified extension.

Suppose that $\mathcal{MK}_n(u^{\frac{1}{p^n}})/\mathcal{M}(\zeta_p)$ is not a totally ramified extension. Since $\mathcal{MK}_n(u^{\frac{1}{p^n}})/\mathcal{M}(\zeta_p)$ is a Galois extension, there exists an intermidiate field $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M}(\zeta_p)$ is an unramified extension of degree p.

Since $\mathcal{N}$ is the composite of $\mathcal{M}(\zeta_p)$ and the unramified extension of degree p over $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M}$ is an abelian extension. Since $\mathcal{M}(\zeta_{p^2})$ is the unique intermidiate field between $\mathcal{MK}_n(u^{\frac{1}{p^n}})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\zeta_p)$ which is an abel extension over $\mathcal{M}$, there does not exist $\mathcal{N}$. This contradicts to the assumption.

Hence $\mathcal{MK}_n(u^{\frac{1}{p^n}})/\mathcal{M}(\zeta_p)$ is a totally ramified extension.

When we put

$$p_1 = q^a u^{mp^\nu} w^{p^n} \quad (a \in \mathbb{Z},\ p \nmid m,\ \nu \geq 0,\ w \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*), \tag{1}$$

we have

$$t_1 = p_1^{\frac{1}{p^n}} = \zeta_{p^n}^j \times q^{\frac{a}{p^n}} u^{\frac{m}{p^{n-\nu}}} w \quad (j \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^*).$$

Since $\zeta_{p^n},\ q^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in \mathcal{MK}_n$, we have

$$\mathcal{MK}_n(t_1) = \mathcal{MK}_n(u^{\frac{1}{p^{n-\nu}}})$$

and

$$[\mathcal{MK}_n(t_1) : \mathcal{MK}_n] = \begin{cases} p^{n-\nu} & \text{if } n > \nu \\ 1 & \text{if } n \leq \nu. \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathcal{MK}_n/\mathcal{K}_n$ is unramified and $\mathcal{MK}_n(t_1)/\mathcal{MK}_n$ is totally ramified, $\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n$ is also totally ramified of degree equal to $[\mathcal{MK}_n(t_1) : \mathcal{MK}_n]$.

Let $\mathcal{I}_n$ be the inertia subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n)$. Then we have

$$|\ \mathcal{I}_n\ | = \begin{cases} p^{n-\nu} & \text{if } n > \nu \\ 1 & \text{if } n \leq \nu. \end{cases}$$

3.3

We consider the case of $p = 2$. When $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Q}_2$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_n \subset \mathcal{K}_n(\zeta_{2^{n+1}}, 5^{\frac{1}{2^n}}).$$

Since $\mathcal{K}_n(\sqrt{5})/\mathcal{K}_n$ is unramified, the order of the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_n$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n)$ satisfies

$$| \mathcal{I}_n | \leq [\mathcal{K}_n(\zeta_{2^{n+1}}, 5^{\frac{1}{2^n}}) : \mathcal{K}_n(\sqrt{5})] \leq 2 \times 2^{n-1} = 2^n.$$

Further the exponent of $\mathcal{I}_n$ is at most 2^{n-1}.

When $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$, then

$$\mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}_n \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}_n(\zeta_{2^{n+1}}, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}})$$

and thus

$$[\mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}_n : \mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}_n] \leq 2 \times 2^{n-1} = 2^n.$$

Since $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is unramified, the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_n$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n)$ is isomorphic to that of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}_n)$. Thus $| \mathcal{I}_n | \leq 2^n$. Further the exponent of $\mathcal{I}_n$ is at most 2^{n-1}.

3.4 The global case

A similar observation will be found in §4 of [14]. In this subsection we take a basis of the maximal free subgroup A of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ satisfying the assertion of Proposition 3.1.

Let v be any prime above p in K_n and I_v the inertia subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$ at v. We recall the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n) \supset & I_v & \xrightarrow{\Phi_n} & E[p^n]^r \\ & \uparrow \simeq & & || \\ \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n) \supset & \mathcal{I}_n & \xrightarrow{\Phi_n^{\mathrm{loc}}} & E[p^n]^r. \end{array}$$

If $p > 2$ or $p = 2$ and $\zeta_4 \notin \mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}_1$, we have the diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n) \supset & \mathcal{I}_n & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \Phi_n^{\mathrm{loc}}} & E[p^n] \\ & \simeq \uparrow & & \varphi \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{K}_n\mathcal{M}) \supset & \mathcal{I}'_n & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \Phi_{q,n}^{\mathrm{loc}}} & E_q[p^n], \end{array}$$

where pr_1 is the projection on the first component from $E[p^n]^r$ to $E[p^n]$ (resp. $E_q[p^n]^r$ to $E_q[p^n]$); if $p = 2$ and $\zeta_4 \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}_1$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n) \supset & \mathcal{I}_n & \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{pr}_1, \mathrm{pr}_2) \circ \Phi_n^{\mathrm{loc}}} & E[2^{n-1}] \times E[2] \\ & \simeq \uparrow & & \varphi \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{K}_n\mathcal{M}) \supset & \mathcal{I}'_n & \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{pr}_1, \mathrm{pr}_2) \circ \Phi_{q,n}^{\mathrm{loc}}} & E_q[2^{n-1}] \times E_q[2], \end{array}$$

where we put $t_2 = \zeta_{2^{n+1}}$. We see that

$$\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \Phi_n \ : \ I_v \hookrightarrow E[p^n]$$

$$(\text{resp. } (\mathrm{pr}_1, \mathrm{pr}_2) \circ \Phi_n \ : \ I_v \hookrightarrow E[2^{n-1}] \times E[2])$$

in the case of $\zeta_4 \notin \mathcal{ML}_1$ (resp. $\zeta_4 \in \mathcal{ML}_1$).

Put $I_p := \langle\ I_v \mid v|p\ \rangle$. The Galois group G_n acts on $\{I_v\}_{v|p}$ transitively. Since Φ_n and pr_j commute with the action of G_n, we have

$$\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \Phi_n \ : \ I_p \hookrightarrow E[p^n]$$

$$(\text{resp. } (\mathrm{pr}_1, \mathrm{pr}_2) \circ \Phi_n \ : \ I_p \hookrightarrow E[2^{n-1}] \times E[2])$$

in the case of $\zeta_4 \notin \mathcal{ML}_1$ (resp. $\zeta_4 \in \mathcal{ML}_1$).

Now we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume $p > 2$. Then the equation $\mid I_p \mid = p^{2(n-\nu)}$ holds for $n > \nu$ and $\mid I_p \mid = 1$ holds for $n \leq \nu$.*

Assume $p = 2$. Then the inequality $\mid I_p \mid \leq p^{2n}$ holds for all $n \geq 1$.

Remark 3.4. *Note that the authors roughly estimated it as $\mid \Phi_n(I_p) \mid \leq p^{4n}$ in §4 of* [14].

4 The inertia subgroups of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$ on $\ell \neq p$

In this section we estimate the order of the inertia subgroups of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$ on $\ell \neq p$.

4.1 The local case when ℓ is multiplicative

Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a prime ideal in L_n lying above ℓ. Let $\mathcal{L}_n$ and $\mathcal{K}_n$ be the completion of L_n and K_n respectively. Since E has multiplicative reduction at ℓ, E is isomorphic to the Tate curve E_q for some q in $\ell\mathbb{Z}_p$. We denote by φ the isomorphism from E to E_q. The isomorphism φ is defined over an unramified extension $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ of degree at most two. We define p_j in $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ by $\varphi(P_j) = p_j$ $(1 \leq j \leq r)$.

We put

$$H := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}_\ell^* & \text{if } \ \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Q}_\ell \\ \{x \in \mathcal{M}^* \mid N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(x) \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}\} & \text{if } \ [\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{Q}_\ell] = 2. \end{cases}$$

4.1.1

We consider the case where $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and $\ell \neq 2$.

Since

$$\mathbb{Q}_\ell^* = \langle l \rangle \times (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^* \times (1 + \ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell),$$

and the p^n-th power mapping is invertible by $\ell \neq p$, we have

$$H/H^{p^n} = \mathbb{Q}_\ell^*/(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{*p^n} = \langle l \rangle \times \langle \zeta_{\ell-1} \rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^\mu\mathbb{Z}),$$

where we put $\mu := \min\{\mathrm{ord}_p(\ell - 1), n\}$. We have

$$H/\langle H^{p^n}, q \rangle = \langle l \rangle \times \langle \zeta_{\ell-1} \rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^\mu\mathbb{Z}), \tag{2}$$

where $\nu := \min\{\mathrm{ord}_p(\mathrm{ord}_\ell(q)), n\}$.

It follows from (2) that

$$\mathcal{L}_n \subset \mathcal{K}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)}, \ell^{\frac{1}{p^n}})$$

and

$$[\mathcal{K}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)}, \ell^{\frac{1}{p^n}}) : \mathcal{K}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)})] = p^\nu.$$

We also have

$$\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)}, q^{\frac{1}{p^n}}) = \mathbb{Q}_\ell(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)}, \ell^{\frac{1}{p^{n-\nu}}}).$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)})/\mathcal{K}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)})$ is cyclic, there exists t_j (say t_1) such that $\mathcal{L}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)}) = \mathcal{K}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)}, t_1)$.

Since $\mathcal{K}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)})/\mathcal{K}_n$ is unramified, the ramification index $\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n$ is equal to that of $\mathcal{L}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)})/\mathcal{K}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)})$.

On the one hand, $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\ell^{\frac{1}{p^n}})/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ is a totally ramified extension of degree p^n. On the other hand, $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)})/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ is an unramified extension by $\ell \nmid p^n(\ell-1)$. Thus the ramified index of the extension $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)}, \ell^{\frac{1}{p^n}})/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ is p^n.

We put $\mu := \min\{n,\ \mathrm{ord}_p(\mathrm{ord}_\ell(p_1))\}$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)}) = \mathcal{K}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)}, t_1) = \mathbb{Q}_\ell(\zeta_{p^n(\ell-1)}, \ell^{\frac{1}{p^{n-\nu}}}, \ell^{\frac{1}{p^{n-\mu}}}).$$

Hence we have

$$|\ \mathcal{I}_n\ | = \begin{cases} p^{\nu-\mu} & \text{if } \mu < \nu \\ 1 & \text{if } \mu \geq \nu. \end{cases}$$

If $\mathrm{ord}_p(\mathrm{ord}_\ell(q)) \leq \mu$, we see that $|\ \mathcal{I}_n\ | = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. If $\mathrm{ord}_p(\mathrm{ord}_\ell(q)) > \mu$, we see that $|\ \mathcal{I}_n\ |$ does not depend on n for all $n \geq \mathrm{ord}_p(\mathrm{ord}_\ell(q))$.

4.1.2

We consider the case where $[\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{Q}_\ell] = 2$ and $\ell \neq 2$.

Since $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(q) = q^2$, either $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}H = q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ or $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}H = q^{2\mathbb{Z}}$ holds. We have

$$H = \langle u \rangle \times U_{\mathcal{M},1}$$

for some u in $\mathcal{M}$ with $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(u) = q$ or q^2. When we put $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(u) = q^t$, we see that u^t is in $\langle q \rangle \times U_{\mathcal{M},1}$.

If either $p > 2$ or $t = 1$ holds, we have

$$H/\langle H^{p^n}, q \rangle = U_{\mathcal{M},1}/U_{\mathcal{M},1}^{p^n}.$$

Otherwise, we have

$$H/\langle H^{p^n}, q \rangle = \langle u \rangle \times U_{\mathcal{M},1}/U_{\mathcal{M},1}^{p^n}.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{O}^* = (\mathcal{O}/\ell\mathcal{O})^* \times (1 + \ell\mathcal{O}),$$

we have

$$U_{\mathcal{M},1} = \mu_{\ell+1} \times \langle \exp(\ell\sqrt{D}) \rangle.$$

If either $p > 2$ or $t = 1$ holds, we have

$$H/\langle H^{p^n}, q \rangle = \langle \zeta_{\ell+1} \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^\mu\mathbb{Z},$$

where we put $\mu := \min\{\mathrm{ord}_p(\ell+1), n\}$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{ML}_n \subset \mathcal{MK}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell+1)}).$$

It follows from $\ell \nmid p^n(\ell+1)$ that $\mathcal{MK}_n(\zeta_{p^n(\ell+1)})/\mathcal{MK}_n$ is unramified. Thus $\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n$ is unramified.

Hence we have $\mid \mathcal{I}_n \mid = 1$.

If both $p = 2$ and $t = 2$ holds, we have

$$H/\langle H^{p^n}, q\rangle = \langle u\rangle \times \langle \zeta_{\ell+1}\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^{\mu}\mathbb{Z}.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{ML}_n \subset \mathcal{MK}_n(\zeta_{2^n(\ell+1)}, u^{\frac{1}{2^n}}).$$

It follows from $\ell \nmid 2^n(\ell+1)$ that $\mathcal{MK}_n(\zeta_{2^n(\ell+1)})/\mathcal{MK}_n$ is unramified. Thus the ramified index of $\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n$ is less than or equal to two.

Hence we have $\mid \mathcal{I}_n \mid \leq 2$.

4.1.3

We consider the case of $\ell = 2$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Q}_2$.

On the subgroup $\langle -1\rangle \times (1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2)$ of

$$\mathbb{Q}_2^* = \langle 2\rangle \times \langle -1\rangle \times (1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2)$$

the p^n-the power homomorphism is invertible by $2 \neq p$. Thus we have

$$H/\langle H^{p^n}, q\rangle = \langle 2\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}\mathbb{Z},$$

where we put $\nu := \min\{n,\ \mathrm{ord}_p(\mathrm{ord}_2(q))\}$. We have

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_{p^n}, 2^{\frac{1}{p^{n-\nu}}}).$$

On the one hand, $\mathbb{Q}_2(2^{\frac{1}{p^n}})/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is a totally ramified extension of degree p^n. On the other hand, $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_{p^n})/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is unramified by $2 \nmid p^n$. Thus the ramifcation index of $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_{p^n}, 2^{\frac{1}{p^n}})/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is p^n.

We put $\mu := \mathrm{ord}_p(\mathrm{ord}_2(p_1))$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{K}_n(p_1^{\frac{1}{p^n}}) = \mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_{p^n}, 2^{\frac{1}{p^{n-\nu}}}, 2^{\frac{1}{p^{n-\mu}}}).$$

Hence we have

$$\mid \mathcal{I}_n \mid = \begin{cases} p^{\nu-\mu} & \text{if } \mu < \nu \\ 1 & \text{if } \mu \geq \nu. \end{cases}$$

4.1.4

We consider the case of $\ell = 2$ and $[\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{Q}_2] = 2$.

Since $H = q^{\mathbb{Z}} \times U_{\mathcal{M},1}$ and $U_{\mathcal{M},1} = \mu_6 \times \langle \varepsilon^2\rangle$, we have

$$H/\langle H^{p^n}, q\rangle = \langle \varepsilon^2\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$$

for $p \neq 3$ and

$$H/\langle H^{p^n}, q\rangle = \mu_3 \times \langle \varepsilon^2\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3^n\mathbb{Z}$$

for $p = 3$.

When $p \neq 3$, we have

$$\mathcal{ML}_n \subset \mathcal{MK}_n(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{p^n}}).$$

Since $p \neq 2$ and ε is unit, $\mathcal{ML}_n/\mathcal{MK}_n$ is unramified and thus $\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n$ is unramified.

When $p = 3$, we have

$$\mathcal{ML}_n \subset \mathcal{MK}_n(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3^n}}, \zeta_{3^{n+1}})$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_{3^{n+1}})/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is unramified and ε is unit, we see that $\mathcal{ML}_n/\mathcal{MK}_n$ is unramified. Hence $\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n$ is unramified.

In these cases we have $\mid \mathcal{I}_n \mid = 1$.

4.1.5

We define

$$\nu_\ell := \begin{cases} \min\{\mathrm{ord}_p(\mathrm{ord}_\ell(\Delta)), n\} & \text{if } E \text{ has split multiplicative reduction at } \ell \neq p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the ramification index of $\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{K}_n$ is less than or equal to p^{ν_ℓ} if E has multiplicative reduction at $\ell \neq p$.

Put $I_\ell := \langle\ I_\mathfrak{l} \mid \mathfrak{l}|\ell\ \rangle$ as before. Since $\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$ is of p-th power order, each $I_\mathfrak{l}$ factors through tame quotient, hence it is a cyclic group.

The same argument in previous sections works for this case. Since Φ_n^{loc} sends $I_\mathfrak{l}$ to $E[p^{\nu_\ell}]^r$, $\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \Phi_n$ sends I_ℓ to $E[p^{\nu_\ell}]$ injectively. Thus we have an upper bound $\mid \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \Phi_n(I_\ell) \mid \leq p^{2\nu_\ell}$. Therefore we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. $\mid I_\ell \mid \leq p^{2\nu_\ell}$.

4.2 The local case when ℓ is potentially good

Next we consider the case where E has potentially good reduction at ℓ. For such a prime ℓ we have the following lemma which is a part of Proposition 4.7 of [7] due to Raynaud.

Lemma 4.2. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ which has potentially good reduction at ℓ. Put $m_0 = 1$ if $p > 2$, $m_0 = 2$ otherwise. Then the base change E/K_{m_0} has good reduction at any prime in K_{m_0} above ℓ.*

Proof. Put $q = p^{m_0}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_1$ the completion of K_1 at a prime $\mathfrak{l}$ above ℓ. Let $\rho_{E,p}$ be the p-adic Galois representation from $G_\mathbb{Q}$ to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ associated to the p-adic Tate module $T_p(E)$. It is easy to see that $\rho_{E,p}(G_{K_{m_0}}) = 1 + qM_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a torsion-free, pro-p group. If the restriction mapping $\rho_{E,p}|_{I_{\mathcal{K}_{m_0}}}$ is non-trivial, the order of $\rho_{E,p}(I_{\mathcal{K}_{m_0}})$ becomes infinite. Therefore $E/\mathcal{K}_{m_0}$ never has potentially good reduction at ℓ which gives a contradiction.

□

Assume that $(n, p) \neq (1, 2)$. Let $I_\mathfrak{l}$ the inertia subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$ at a prime $\mathfrak{l}$ of L_n lying above ℓ with $\mathrm{ord}_\ell(N) \geq 2$. Put $I_\ell := \langle\ I_\mathfrak{l} \mid \mathfrak{l}|\ell\ \rangle$. Let $\mathcal{K}_n$ the

completion of K_n at $\mathfrak{l}$ and R be the ring of integers of an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_n$ of $\mathcal{K}_n$.

By Lemma 4.2, E/K_n has good reduction at $\mathfrak{l}$ and then one can take the Néron model $\mathcal{E}$ of E over $\mathcal{K}_n$. By basic properties of Néron models (cf. p. 12, Definition 1 and p. 16, Corollary 2 of [2]), we have the reduction map $E(\mathcal{K}_n) = \mathcal{E}(R) \overset{\mathrm{red}}{\to} \widetilde{E}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}})$, where $\widetilde{E}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is the reduction of E at $\mathfrak{l}$. Then for any σ in $I_{\mathfrak{l}}$ and P in $E(\overline{\mathcal{K}}_n)$ we see that $\mathrm{red}({}^{\sigma}P) = \mathrm{red}(P)$. Thus $\mathrm{red} \circ \Phi_n = \{0\}$ by the definition of the G_n-isomorphism Φ_n from $\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$ to $E[p^n]^r$. It follows from

$$E[p^n]^r \overset{\mathrm{red}}{\tilde{\to}} \widetilde{E}_{\mathfrak{l}}[p^n]^r,$$

that $\Phi_n(I_{\mathfrak{l}}) = \{0\}$ for any $\mathfrak{l}$ dividing ℓ. Hence we have $\mid I_\ell \mid = 1$.

The remaining case is $(n, p) = (1, 2)$. Since E/K_2 has good reduction, the ramification comes from that of K_2/K_1. However as we will see later (Lemma 6.6), $L_1 \cap K_2 = K_1$. Therefore it follows that $I_\ell = \{1\}$.

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let us keep our notation in §3 and assumptions in Theorem 1.1. Let I be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$ generated by all I_ℓ satisfying $\ell | N$. Put

$$s := \sum_{\ell \neq p} \nu_\ell$$

for simplicity.

We first assume that p is odd. By applying the results in §3 and §4, we have

$$\mid I \mid \leq \prod_{\ell | N} \mid I_\ell \mid = \prod_{\mathrm{ord}_\ell(N)=1} \mid I_\ell \mid \leq p^{2n+2s}.$$

Thus we have

$$[L_n \cap K_n^{\mathrm{ur}} : K_n] = \frac{[L_n : K_n]}{[L_n : L_n^I]} \geq \frac{p^{2nr}}{p^{2n+2s}} = p^{2n(r-1)-2s}$$

for any $n \geq 1$.

Next we assume that $p = 2$. We recall that

$$\delta_2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \zeta_4 \notin \mathcal{ML}_1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The defect δ_2 is due to Theorem 3.3. Then we have

$$\mid I \mid \leq p^{2n+2\delta_2+2s}$$

and

$$[L_n \cap K_n^{\mathrm{ur}} : K_n] = \frac{[L_n : K_n]}{[L_n : L_n^I]} \geq \frac{p^{2nr}}{p^{2n+2\delta_2+2s}} = p^{2n(r-1-\delta_2)-2s}$$

for any $n \geq 1$.

This completes a proof of Theorem 1.1.

Next, we give a proof of Corollary 1.2. If the conductor of E is equal to a prime p, we have $p \geq 11$, $\Delta \mid p^5$, and $G_n \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ for $n \geq 1$ (cf. [14]). Thus the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 hold in this case.

We define the integer $\nu \geq 0$ by (1). Since the conductor is equal to p, we have $\mid I \mid = \mid I_p \mid$. By Theorem 3.3 we have $\mid I_p \mid = p^{2(n-\nu)}$ holds for $n > \nu$, and $\mid I_p \mid = 1$ holds for $n \leq \nu$. Since

$$[L_n \cap K_n^{\mathrm{ur}} : K_n] = \frac{[L_n : K_n]}{[L_n : L_n^I]} = \frac{p^{2nr}}{\mid I \mid},$$

we have

$$\kappa_n = \begin{cases} 2n(r-1) + 2\nu & (n > \nu) \\ 2nr & (n \leq \nu). \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof.

6 $L_1 \cap K_\infty = K_1$ for $p = 2$

Let the notations be the same as in §2. Put $N_1 := L_1 \cap K_\infty$. In this section, we prove $N_1 = K_1$ when $p = 2$.

Put $H_n := 1 + p^n M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for any $n \geq 1$. It is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K_n)$ since $G_n \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$. Contrary to the case where p is odd, we have the issues that the equality $H_1^2 = H_2$ does not hold and $H_1/H_2 \simeq M_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ contains $E[2]$ as an irreducible G_1-quotient. To obtain a similar result we need more careful analysis.

6.1 Maximal abelian extension of K_1 in K_∞

Instead of H_1^2 we consider the subgroup $\mathcal{H}$ of H_1 generated by H_1^2. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}$ is a normal subgroup of H_1 (and also of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$). Since $H_1/\mathcal{H}$ is of exponent two, $H_1/\mathcal{H}$ is an abelian group.

We have

$$H_2 \supset \mathcal{H} \supset H_3$$

since $\sqrt{h}$ is in H_1 for any h in $H_3 = 1 + 8M_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, where $\sqrt{h}$ is a solution (if it exists) of the equation $X^2 = h$ in $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. One can also check H_3 is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 6.1. *It holds that*

(1)
$$\mathcal{H}/H_3 = \left\{ I_2 + 4\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} \mod 8 \;\middle|\; a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3,$$

(2) *$H_1/\mathcal{H}$ is naturally identified with*

$$\{I_2 + A \bmod 8\} \coprod \left\{ (I_2 + A)\left(I_2 + 4\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mod 8 \right\},$$

where A runs over all elements in $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$,

(3) $H_1/\mathcal{H}$ *is of order two and it is generated by* $I_2 + 4\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \bmod 8$, *and*

(4) $H_1/\mathcal{H} \simeq H_2/\mathcal{H} \times H_1/H_2$.

Proof. The first three claims are easily obtained by direct computation and so details are omitted. For (4), let us consider the following exact sequence:

$$1 \to H_2/\mathcal{H} \to H_1/\mathcal{H} \to H_1/H_2 \to 1.$$

By (2) it turns out that the map $H_1/H_2 \simeq 2M_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1/\mathcal{H},\ A \mapsto I_2 + A \bmod 8$ gives a splitting. Further the image of H_1/H_2 under the splitting and $H_2/\mathcal{H}$ are commutative in $H_1/\mathcal{H}$ since

$$(I_2 + 2A)\left(I_2 + 4\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\right) \equiv \left(I_2 + 4\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\right)(I_2 + 2A) \bmod 8.$$

□

Since $\mathrm{Gal}(N_1/K_1)$ is of exponent 2, we have

$$H_1 \supset \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/N_1) \supset \mathcal{H}.$$

It implies the field extension $K_1 \subset N_1 \subset L$, where L is the Galois extension of K_1 corresponding to $\mathcal{H}$. By Lemma 6.1 (4), $G_1 = \mathrm{Gal}(K_1/\mathbb{Q})$ naturally acts on $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K_1)$ and the natural surjection $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K_1) \to \mathrm{Gal}(N_1/K_1)$ is G_1-equivariant. Since G_1 acts trivially on $H_2/\mathcal{H}$ by Lemma 6.1 (2), N_1 is contained in K_2.

As in the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [14], G_1-module $\mathrm{Gal}(N_1/K_1)$ is isomorphic to a copy of the irreducible G_1-module $E[2]$. Therefore the above surjection induces G_1-equivariant, surjective homomorphism

$$M_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_1/H_2 = \mathrm{Gal}(K_2/K_1) \to \mathrm{Gal}(N_1/K_1),$$

where G_1 acts on $M_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ by the matrix conjugate via $G_1 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. With this observation we study the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$-module $M_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ as below.

Lemma 6.2. *There are exactly four non-trivial* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$*-submodules of* $V_4 := M_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ *and they are given by*

$$V_1 = \langle\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\rangle,\ V_2^{(1)} = \langle\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 1\end{pmatrix},\ \begin{pmatrix}1 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\rangle,\ V_2^{(2)} = \langle\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix},\ \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}\rangle,$$

and $V_3 = M_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{tr}=0}$. *Further only isotypic* G_1*-quotient modules of* $M_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ *are* $V_4/V_3 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},\ V_2^{(1)}/V_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ *and* $V_2^{(2)} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}$, we have only to compute the orbit decomposition of $M_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ under the actions of these two elements. □

6.2 A generator for K_1

We take a Weierstrass model

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3)$$

over $\mathbb{Q}$ of E and the decomposition over K_1. Then we have

$$\Delta \equiv (e_1 - e_2)^2(e_2 - e_3)^2(e_3 - e_1)^2 \bmod \mathbb{Q}^{*12}. \tag{3}$$

By $G_1 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_3$, we have

$$\sqrt{\Delta} \in K_1,\ [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2.$$

By Lang [10, p. 103, Lemma 3]Cthe equation

$$x_2 - e_1 = \left(\frac{x^2 - a - 2e_1x - 2e_1^2}{2y}\right)^2$$

holds for two points (x, y) and (x_2, y_2) of E such that $[2]_E((x, y)) = (x_2, y_2)$. When $(x_2, y_2) = (e_2, 0)$, we have

$$\pm\sqrt{e_2 - e_1} = \frac{x^2 - a - 2e_1x - 2e_1^2}{2y} \in K_2.$$

Since $K_2/\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension, we have

$$\sqrt{(e_1 - e_2)(e_2 - e_3)(e_3 - e_1)} \in K_2.$$

Thus by (3) we have

$$\sqrt[4]{\Delta} \in K_2.$$

Furthermore we see

$$[K_1(\sqrt[4]{\Delta}) : K_1] = 2.$$

Indeed, if $\sqrt[4]{\Delta}$ is in K_1, then $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{\Delta}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ and it contradicts to $G_1 \simeq \mathfrak{S}_3$.

By using the Weil pairing, we see $\zeta_4 \in K_2$.

We have $\zeta_4 \notin K_1$. Indeed, if ζ_4 is in K_1Cthen $G_2 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ and it contradicts to $G_2 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$.

We also have $\zeta_4\sqrt[4]{\Delta} \notin K_1$. Indeed, if $\zeta_4\sqrt[4]{\Delta}$ is in K_1Cthen $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{\Delta}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ and it contradicts to $G_1 \simeq \mathfrak{S}_3$.

Now we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *Assume that $G_n \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})$ for $n = 1, 2$. Then $\sqrt{\Delta}$ is in K_1 and $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$. $\sqrt[4]{\Delta}$ and ζ_4 are in K_2 and $[K_1(\sqrt[4]{\Delta}, \zeta_4) : K_1] = 4$.*

6.3 A generator for K_2

Suppose $\mathrm{Gal}(N_1/K_1) \simeq E[2]$. Since N_1/K_1 is an abelian extension, N_1 is contained in the fixed field of $\mathcal{H}$. Since N_1/K_1 is a G_1-extension contained in L_1/K_1, the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(N_1/K_1)$ is isomorphic to the direct product of some copies of $E[2]$. As we see after Lemma 6.1, N_1 is contained in K_2. We have

$$N_1 = L_1 \cap K_2.$$

Furthermore, by using Lemma 6.2, $\mathrm{Gal}(N_1/K_1)$ is isomorphic to $V_2^{(2)}$. Then the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K_2/N_1)$ is isomorphic to $V_2^{(1)}$.

We have $\zeta_4 \notin N_1$. Indeed, suppose $\zeta_4 \in N_1$. Then $K_1 \subset K_1(\zeta_4) \subset N_1$. Since $\mathrm{Gal}(N_1/K_1(\zeta_4))$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(K_1(\zeta_4)/K_1)$ are G_1-submodules of $\mathrm{Gal}(N_1/K_1)$. This contradicts to the assumption that $\mathrm{Gal}(N_1/K_1)$ is isomorphic to an irreducible G_1-module $E[2]$.

Similarly, we have $\sqrt[4]{\Delta} \notin N_1$ (resp. $\zeta_4\sqrt[4]{\Delta} \notin N_1$). Indeed, if $\sqrt[4]{\Delta} \in N_1$ (resp. $\zeta_4\sqrt[4]{\Delta} \in N_1$), ζ_4 is in the Galois closure of $K_1(\sqrt[4]{\Delta})$ (resp. $K_1(\zeta_4\sqrt[4]{\Delta})$) over $\mathbb{Q}$ and it contradicts $\zeta_4 \notin N_1$.

Since $K_2 \supset N_1(\zeta_4, \sqrt[4]{\Delta}) \supset N_1$ and $[N_1(\zeta_4, \sqrt[4]{\Delta}) : N_1] = 4$, we have $K_2 = N_1(\zeta_4, \sqrt[4]{\Delta})$ by the assumption $[N_1 : K_1] = 4$.

Now we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.4. *Assume that* $G_n \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})$ *for* $n = 1, 2$ *and that* $\mathrm{Gal}(N_1/K_1) \simeq E[2]$. *Then we have* $K_2 = N_1(\sqrt[4]{\Delta}, \zeta_4)$.

6.4 Generators for $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$

Since E has multiplicative reduction, there exists some q in $2\mathbb{Z}_2$ such that E is isomorphic to the Tate curve E_q over the unramified extension $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_2$ for $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Q}_2,\ \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-3})$. We also have

$$\mathcal{M}(E_q[2]) = \mathcal{M}(\sqrt{q}),\ \mathcal{M}(E_q[4]) = \mathcal{M}(\sqrt[4]{q}, \zeta_4). \tag{4}$$

Since $\mathrm{ord}_2(q)$ is odd, $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{q})/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is a totally ramified extension of degree two. $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt[4]{q}, \zeta_4)/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is a totally ramified extension of degree eight.

At first, we discuss about $\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathbb{Q}_2(E[2])$.

Since $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is a quadratic unramified extension, the extension $\mathcal{K}_1/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is of degree at most four, and its ramification index is at most two.

By assumption, $\mathrm{ord}_2(\Delta) = \mathrm{ord}_2(q)$ is odd. Thus $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{\Delta})/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is a totally ramified quadratic extension. Since the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_1/\mathbb{Q}_2)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup $G_1 \simeq \mathfrak{S}_3$ and it contains normal subgroup $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_1/\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{\Delta}))$ of order two. Thus we have

$$[\mathcal{K}_1 : \mathbb{Q}_2] = 2$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{\Delta}).$$

Secondly, we discuss about $\mathcal{K}_2 = \mathbb{Q}_2(E[4])$. By (4) the extension $\mathcal{K}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is of degree at most sixteen, and its ramification index is at most eight.

Since $\mathrm{ord}_2(\Delta) = \mathrm{ord}_2(q)$ is odd, $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt[4]{\Delta}, \zeta_4)/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is a totally ramified extension of degree eight. Thus $\mathcal{K}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt[4]{\Delta}, \zeta_4)$ is an unramified extension of degree at most two. In the case of $[\mathcal{K}_2 : \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt[4]{\Delta}, \zeta_4)] = 2$, the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2)$ contains the inertia group as a normal subgroup of order eight and thus $\mathcal{K}_2$ contains the unramified quadratic extension $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_2$. We have

$$\mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{M}(\sqrt[4]{\Delta}, \zeta_4).$$

Now we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5. *Assume that* $G_n \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})$ *for* $n = 1, 2$. *Then we have*

$$\mathcal{K}_2 = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt[4]{\Delta}, \zeta_4),\ \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt[4]{\Delta}, \zeta_4, \sqrt{-3}).$$

6.5 The proof of $N_1 = K_1$

We show $N_1 = K_1$. Since $\mathcal{K}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2N_1$ is a totally ramified extension of degree four, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_2 = \mathbb{Q}_2N_1(\sqrt[4]{\Delta}, \zeta_4).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbb{Q}_2N_1 = \mathcal{K}_1,\ \mathcal{K}_1(\sqrt{-3}).$$

We take an element σ in $\mathrm{Gal}(K_2/N_1)$ such that

$$\sqrt[4]{\Delta} \mapsto -\sqrt[4]{\Delta},\ \zeta_4 \mapsto \zeta_4.$$

Since $\mathcal{K}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2N_1$ is a totally ramified extension, we may consider σ in $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_2/N_1\mathbb{Q}_2)$.

Since either $\sqrt{-3} \notin \mathcal{K}_2$, or $\sqrt{-3} \in \mathbb{Q}_2N_1$ holdsC we may enlarge σ from $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2N_1)$ to $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2N_1)$ satisfying

$$\sigma(\sqrt{-3}) = \sqrt{-3}.$$

Since the fixed field of σ in $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}_2$ is $\mathcal{M}(\zeta_4, \sqrt{\Delta})$, we have $\sigma(\sqrt[4]{q}) = -\sqrt[4]{q}$.

Thus σ commutes with the isomorphism from E to E_q. The matrix representation of σ with respect to $E[4]$ is equal to that with respect to $E_q[4] = \langle \zeta_4, \sqrt[4]{q} \rangle$ and it is conjugate to

$$1 + 2\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This contradicts to

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K_2/N_1) \simeq V_2^{(1)}$$

by the assumption $\mathrm{Gal}(N_1/K_1) \simeq V_2^{(2)}$.

Now we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.6. *The equality $N_1 = K_1$ holds for $p = 2$.*

7 Examples

In this section we will give elliptic curves which satisfy the condition in Theorem 1.1. The computation is done by using Mathematica, version 10, and databases Sage [13] for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ and [9] for local fields.

7.1 $p = 2$ and $\delta_2 = 1$

Let E be the elliptic curve defined by $y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - 141x + 624$. This elliptic curve has the conductor $N = 2 \cdot 71^2 = 10082$, the minimal discriminant $\Delta = 2^3 \cdot 71^3$, and j-invariant $2^{-3} \cdot 5^3 \cdot 19^3$. By the criterion of [3] one can check that $G_n \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})$ for any $n \geq 1$ since $4t^3(t+1) + j = 0$ does not have a rational solution in t. By [13] we see that $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ and it is generated by $P_1 = (-6, 38)$ and $P_2 = (6, -1)$.

We apply Theorem 1.1 to E for $p = 2$. Then we have $\kappa_n \geq 2n(2-1) - 2 = 2(n-1)$ for $n \geq 1$. Hence the class number $h_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2^n])}$ satisfies

$$2^{2(n-1)} \mid h_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2^n])}$$

for any $n \geq 1$. In this case we can check $\zeta_4 = \sqrt{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_1$.

7.2 $p = 2$ and $\delta_2 = 0$

Let E be the elliptic curve defined by

$$h(x, y) := -(y^2 + xy + y) + x^3 + x^2 - 55238x + 4974531 = 0.$$

This elliptic curve has the conductor $N = 2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 313 = 15650$, the minimal discriminant $\Delta = -2^{19} \cdot 5^6 \cdot 313$, and j-invariant $-2^{-19} \cdot 313^{-1} \cdot 7^3 \cdot 103^3 \cdot 139^3$. Further it has split (resp. non-split) multiplicative reduction at $p = 2$ (resp. 313) and potentially good reduction at 5.

Similarly one can check that $G_n \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})$ for any $n \geq 1$. By [13] we see that $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ and it is generated by $P_1 = (\frac{37305}{64}, -\frac{6849551}{512})$ and $P_2 = (-75, 2987)$.

A direct computation shows that L_1 is obtained by adding the roots of the following two equations to K_1:

$$f(x) = 64x^4 - 149220x^3 + 6883875x^23 + 5695579750x - 548615793125,$$
$$g(x) = x^4 + 300x^3 + 110850x^2 - 56367500x + 4518668125.$$

These polynomials are obtained as follows. Firstly we compute

$$2P = (f_1(x, y), g_1(x, y)),\ f_1, g_1 \in \mathbb{Q}(x, y)$$

for $P = (x, y)$. For P_1, we have the system of algebraic equations

$$f_1(x, y) = \frac{37305}{64},\ g_1(x, y) = -\frac{6849551}{512},\ h(x, y) = 0.$$

By deleting y we obtain $f(x)$ as a unique common factor. Similarly we obtain $g(x)$ from P_2.

Since E has split multiplicative reduction at $p = 2$, we have $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Q}_2$. By using [9] we see that

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-2}),\ \mathcal{L}_1 = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-2}, \sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{-10}) = \mathcal{K}_1(\sqrt{-3}).$$

Therefore $\zeta_4 = \sqrt{-1} \notin \mathcal{L}_1$ and hence $\delta_2 = 0$.

We now apply Theorem 1.1 to E for $p = 2$ with $\nu_5 = \nu_{313} = 0$, and $\delta_2 = 0$. Then we have $\kappa_n \geq 2n(2-1) = 2n$ for $n \geq 1$. Hence the class number $h_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2^n])}$ satisfies

$$2^{2n} \mid h_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2^n])}\ (n \geq 1).$$

7.3 $p = 3$

Let E be the elliptic curve defined by $y^2 + xy = x^3 + 543x + 10026$. This elliptic curve has the conductor $N = 3 \cdot 67^2 = 13467$, the minimal discriminant $\Delta = -3^{11} \cdot 67^3$, and j-invariant $3^{-11} \cdot 389^3$. By [13] we see that $G_1 \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ and $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ whose generators are given by $P_1 = (-13, 35)$ and $P_2 = (39, 282)$. Then we can apply the criterion of [4] (see also the j-invariant in p. 961 of [3]) for G_2 to obtain $G_2 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3^2\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore the conditions in Theorem 1.1 for E is fulfilled. Thus we have $\kappa_n \geq 2n(2-1) = 2n$. Hence the class number $h_{\mathbb{Q}(E[3^n])}$ satisfies

$$3^{2n} \mid h_{\mathbb{Q}(E[3^n])}$$

for each $n \geq 1$.

References

[1] M. I. Bashmakov, The cohomology of abelian varieties over a number field, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 27 (1972) 25-66. English translation: Russian Math. Surveys 27 (1972) 2-70.

[2] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, Néron models. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 21. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1990).

[3] T. Dokchitser and V. Dokchitser, Surjectivity of mod 2^n representations of elliptic curves, Math. Z. 272 (2012), no. 3-4, 961-964.

[4] N. Elkies, Elliptic curves with 3-adic Galois representation surjective mod 3 but not mod 9, preprint 2006.

[5] R. Greenberg, Iwasawa theory past and present, Class field theory its centenary and prospect (Tokyo, 1998), Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 30, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2001, 335-385.

[6] T. Fukuda, K. Komatsu and S. Yamagata, Iwasawa λ-invariants and Mordell-Weil rank of abelian varieties with complex multiplication, Acta Arithmetica 127 (2007) 305-307.

[7] A. Grothendieck, Modeles de Neron et Monodrome Expose IX, SGA 7

[8] T. Hiranouchi, Class numbers associated to elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good reduction at p, preprint 2016.

[9] John W. Jones and David P. Roberts, Database of Local Fields, available at https://math.la.asu.edu/~jj/localfields/.

[10] S. Lang, Elliptic curves: Diophantine analysis, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 231. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978.

[11] T. Lawson and C. Wuthrich, Vanishing of some Galois cohomology groups for elliptic curves, preprint 2015.

[12] J. -F. Mestre and J. Oesterlé, Courbes de Weil semi-stables de discriminant une puissance m-iéme, J. Reine Angew. Math. 400 (1989) 173-184.

[13] Sage, a database available at http://www.lmfdb.org/EllipticCurve/.

[14] F. Sairaiji and T. Yamauchi, On the class numbers of the fields of the p^n-torsion points of certain elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$, J. Number Theory 156 (2015) 277-289

[15] J. P. Serre, Proprietes galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques, Invent. Math. 15 (1972) , no. 4, 259-331.

[16] J. H. Silverman, The arithmetic of elliptic curves, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 106, Springer, New York, 1986.

[17] J. H. Silverman, Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 151, Springer, New York, 1994.

Fumio SAIRAIJI,
Faculty of Engineering,
Hiroshima International University,
Hiro, Hiroshima
737-0112, JAPAN
e-mail: sairaiji@it.hirokoku-u.ac.jp

Takuya YAMAUCHI,
Mathematical Institute,
Tohoku University
6-3, Aoba, Aramaki, Aoba-Ku, Sendai 980-8578, JAPAN
e-mail: yamauchi@math.tohoku.ac.jp